

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/813,532	HOSUR, SRINATH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) _____.

 (2) Carlton H. Hoel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 & 16 June 2006
Time: afternoon
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes – Claims 1, 7-8 & 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Yes


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The independent claims were to be amended so as to avoid re-opening prosecution of the application and to avoid rejection based on the new art searched as disclosed in PTO-892. Furthermore, claims 7-8 & 12 were further amended to avoid 112 rejections.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)